

<b>THE GENERAL CONSTRUCTION CONSULTANCY RELATED FRAMEWORK (FRAMEWORK 2) ADDENDUM</b>	
<b>CPC MEETING DATE (2019/20)</b>  7 December 2020	<b>CLASSIFICATION:</b>  Open with exempt Appendix 1  By Virtue of Paragraph(s) 3, Part 1 of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 the Appendices are exempt because they contain information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information) and it is considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.
<b>WARD(S) AFFECTED</b>  All.	
<b>CABINET MEMBER</b>  Councillor Rebecca Rennison  Regeneration	
<b>KEY DECISION</b> - Yes  <b>REASON</b> - Affects two or more wards	
<b>GROUP DIRECTOR</b>  Ian Williams, Group Director, Finance and Corporate Resources	

## 1. CABINET MEMBER'S INTRODUCTION

Following the discovery of a technical error in the evaluation scores for Lots 4, 5 & 7 this addendum provides updated information in accordance with the procurement principles of transparency, accountability and integrity. Although the error affects the quality scores, it has no impact on the selection of the successful and unsuccessful bidders for each of these Lots as previously approved. Lot 8 is unaffected by the error.

The total weighted combined final scores for both price and quality have been corrected and re-ranked using the published methodology for each of the Lots as summarised below:

<b>LOT 4 - Multi-Disciplinary Building Surveying led Services</b>				
Providers shown in bold are the recommended providers				
<b>Provider Name</b>	<b>Quality Score</b>	<b>Finance Score</b>	<b>Total Scored (%)</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>43.872</b>	<b>37.37</b>	<b>81.25</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>50.952</b>	<b>30.17</b>	<b>81.12</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>35.409</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>75.41</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>40.332</b>	<b>37.60</b>	<b>77.93</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>48.197</b>	<b>28.49</b>	<b>76.69</b>	<b>4</b>
F	45.642	29.56	75.20	6
G	50.952	21.36	72.31	7
H	50.952	18.08	69.03	8
I	49.182	17.08	66.26	9
J	43.672	18.74	62.41	13
K	45.642	17.60	63.24	10
L	47.412	15.35	62.76	11
M	47.214	15.34	62.56	12

N	43.872	14.86	58.73	14
O	36.594	22.04	58.63	15
P	39.149	16.30	55.45	16
Q	34.626	17.48	52.11	17

<b>LOT 5 – Multi-Disciplinary Design led Services</b>				
Providers shown in bold are the recommended providers				
<b>Provider Name</b>	<b>Quality Score</b>	<b>Finance Score</b>	<b>Total Scored (%)</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>36.67</b>	<b>88.67</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>36.49</b>	<b>88.49</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>88.00</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>39.52</b>	<b>85.52</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>23.47</b>	<b>75.47</b>	<b>5</b>
F	52	21.82	73.82	6
G	46	26.67	72.67	7
H	50	21.75	71.75	8
I	48	23.39	71.39	9
J	46	24.26	70.26	10
K	46	23.92	69.92	11
L	48	20.33	68.33	12
M	44	23.61	67.61	13
N	42	25.53	67.53	14
O	48	19.42	67.42	15
P	48	17.71	65.71	16

Q	46	19.21	65.21	17
R	46	15.57	61.57	18
S	42	15.17	57.17	19

<b>Lot 7 - Complex Project Management (Employer's Agent)</b>				
Providers shown in bold are the recommended providers				
<b>Provider Name</b>	<b>Quality Score</b>	<b>Finance Score</b>	<b>Total Scored (%)</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>48.60</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>88.60</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>51.48</b>	<b>35.73</b>	<b>87.21</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>42.72</b>	<b>39.56</b>	<b>82.28</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>44.64</b>	<b>33.11</b>	<b>77.75</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>53.28</b>	<b>17.71</b>	<b>70.99</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>44.64</b>	<b>25.98</b>	<b>70.62</b>	<b>6</b>
G	46.32	21.51	67.83	7
H	42.72	21.83	64.55	8
I	39.36	24.92	64.28	9
J	46.20	14.89	61.09	10
K	42.84	12.47	55.31	11

The above tables inclusive of the provider names are provided in **Exempt Appendix 1** attached to this report.

## APPENDICES

### Exempt Appendix 1 - Evaluation final scores

#### EXEMPT

By Virtue of Paragraph(s) 2 Part 1 of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 this report and/or appendix is exempt because it contains Information which is likely to reveal the identity of individual and it is considered that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

<b>Report Author</b>	<i>Karen Tait-Lane Tel : 0208 356 5073</i> <i>Procurement Category Lead</i> <a href="mailto:karen.tait-lane@hackney.gov.uk">karen.tait-lane@hackney.gov.uk</a>
----------------------	--